

On 3/3/22 Pastor Steve Wohlberg of White Horse Media had something “very exciting” to share. His excitement was shared in a presentation titled “Amazing New 5x8 Present Truth Handouts” on the White Horse Media channel on YouTube. A few years ago I would never have believed a Seventh-day Adventist minister would say the Bible had errors in it but Steve is another one of many, I suspect most, who doesn’t believe there is an infallible Bible anywhere in the world at this time even though Ellen G. White described it as infallible.

It seems to have become a fad to say something like you believe the Textus Receptus or something similar and then say because it’s only a translation, the King James Version (KJV) has errors. Steve took it a bit further; he said the underlying Greek text of the KJV was the Textus Receptus, the Traditional Text, the Received Text and the Majority Text. Though Steve implied that he could “write a whole book on that alone” Steve would have a lot of learning to do before he did so.

The translators of the KJV used a variety of manuscripts written in more languages than just Greek. You’re also not going to find any book on this earth that you can hold in your hand that contains the Textus Receptus, the Traditional Text, the Received Text or the Majority Text. All of those names refer to the same set of manuscripts and if such a book existed, you would have to be able to read Koine Greek, ancient Hebrew and Chaldean in order to understand all of it. If such a book existed, Steve wouldn’t be able to read it. Steve is blowing smoke.



[Martin Lohne](#)

Well Steve, I appreciate the fact you are trying to spread truth and a simple card that people may actually read is more logical than sending whole books broadcast that not many will read. Maybe you can elaborate on this passage from the New King James Version (NKJV) which is used in the card.

“You were the anointed cherub who covers; I established you; You were on the holy mountain of God; You walked back and forth in the midst of fiery stones. You were perfect in your ways from the day you were created, Till iniquity was found in you. “You defiled your sanctuaries By the multitude of your iniquities, By the iniquity of your trading; Therefore I brought fire from your midst; It devoured you, And I turned you to ashes upon the earth In the sight of all who saw you.” Ezekiel 28:14-15, 18 from the NKJV.

Thou hast defiled thy sanctuaries by the multitude of thine iniquities, by the iniquity of thy traffick; therefore will I bring forth a fire from the midst of thee, it shall devour thee, and I will bring thee to ashes upon the earth in the sight of all them that behold thee.” Ezekiel 28:18 from the King James Version (KJV).

I understand that there are hard words in the KJV like thou, hast, traffick, thee, etc. but it appears to me that the NKJV says Satan, the anointed cherub, has already been turned to ashes while the KJV says that will happen in the future. Has Satan already been turned to ashes? Is that true?

S

Steve Wohlberg

Good point. The NKJV is wrong there. KJV is right. The Bible I read and preach from is the KJVER. King James Version Easy Reader Bible. I love it. WHM sells them. I use NKJV in my books because the verses I use are easier to grasp. I am selective though what verses/version I quote in my books. Compare KJV and NKJV of Revelation 17:5. There, KJV is wrong and NKJV right. KJV says John admired the whore. No he didn't! NKJV says he was astonished which is a better translation. So, even the KJV isn't perfect. Only Jesus is.

S

Steve Wohlberg

Sorry. I meant Revelation 17:6.

M

Martin Lohne

[1 second ago](#)

[@Steve Wohlberg](#) Steve, I appreciate you taking the time to answer but there are some flaws in what you say and I appreciate you mentioning Revelation 17:6.

1. I have a KJVER bible though not the one you sell so I don't know if yours is different. First of all the name implies that there are defects in the KJV that need to be corrected which isn't true. Secondly, throughout mine there are underlined words with suggestions in tiny print adjacent to the verse suggesting how God could have said things differently. One of the places this is done is in Isaiah 14:12 which is the only verse in the Bible that names Lucifer. The note suggests that instead of Satan it could mean "king of Babylon."

2. The reading of Revelation 17:6 in the KJV is not wrong and here is why.

"And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus: and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration." Revelation 17:6.

One of the definitions of "admiration" in Noah Webster's 1828 American Dictionary of the English Language is, "It has been sometimes used in an ill sense, denoting wonder with disapprobation." "Admiration" doesn't always have a good connotation.

3. "Man is fallible, but God's Word is infallible." Selected Messages Book One page 416.

"The truth of God s to be the subject for his contemplation and meditation. He is to regard the Bible as the voice of God speaking directly to him." The Acts of the Apostles page 475.

"He who has a knowledge of God and His word through personal experience has a settled faith in the divinity of the Holy Scriptures. He has proved that God's word is truth, and he knows that truth can never contradict itself." The Ministry of Healing page 462.

The meaning of "infallible" hasn't changed in hundreds of years and that's the word the Lord's Messenger used. It means no error at all. Pick a Bible you can defend. The NKJV is indefensible and when you use it you are teaching others that it is the "voice of God" which it isn't. It's a mixture of the true and the false. It's a counterfeit; a fake.



[statutesofthelord](#)

[2 minutes ago](#)

Martin: "It devoured you, And I turned you to ashes upon the earth In the sight of all who saw you."" It is common for God to talk to us in the Bible in future, present, and past, as all done, because God's words are not changed.



[Martin Lohne](#)

[0 seconds ago](#)

[@statutesofthelord](#) So you think past tense in that passage is OK? How about this one.

"And he said unto me, Unto two thousand and three hundred days; then shall the sanctuary be cleansed." Daniel 8:14 from the KJV.

Tell me please, do you know if Daniel 8:14 should be in the future or in the past? If so, how do you know that?

You say it is "common" for God to talk in future, present and past "as all done." Perhaps you can give an example of that along with the Bible you get it from.



[statutesofthelord](#)

[5 hours ago](#)

[@Martin Lohne](#) Eph 2:6 And hath raised us up together, and made us sit together in heavenly places in Christ Jesus:

Isa [5:13](#) Therefore my people are gone into captivity, because they have no knowledge: and their honourable men are famished, and their multitude dried up with thirst.

2Chr [20:37](#) Then Eliezer the son of Dodavah of Mareshah prophesied against Jehoshaphat, saying, Because thou hast joined thyself with Ahaziah, the LORD hath broken thy works. And the ships were broken, that they were not able to go to Tarshish.

Isa [10:27](#) And it shall come to pass in that day, that his burden shall be taken away from off thy shoulder, and his yoke from off thy neck, and the yoke shall be destroyed because of the anointing.

Isa [10:28](#) He is come to Aiath, he is passed to Migron; at Michmash he hath laid up his carriages:

Isa [10:29](#) They are gone over the passage: they have taken up their lodging at Geba; Ramah is afraid; Gibeah of Saul is fled.

Rev 12:10 And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night.

Rev 12:16 And the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the flood which the dragon cast out of his mouth. There are many more, especially in Revelation and Daniel.



Martin Lohne

[0 seconds ago](#)

[@statutesofthelord](#) There is a problem with what you propose. If what you say is true, it's impossible to know if anything in the Bible is a prophecy of a future event or if something is described that already happened.

Now for your Scripture passages that allegedly mix up present, past or future tense.

Ephesians 2:6 is describing what had happened at the time this was written. It is not a prophecy.

Isaiah 5:13 is describing what had already happened. Israel had already gone into captivity. It is not a prophecy.

2 Chronicles [20:37](#) isn't hard to understand. Eliezer the son of Dodavah of Mareshah told Jehoshaphat that his works were broken. Apparently so because it then says his ships, which were his works, were broken.

Isaiah 10:27-29 is all a prophecy and describes a future event. The clue is Isaiah 10:27 where it says "it shall come to pass." This is not like Ezekiel 28:14-19 where EVERYTHING that is going to happen to Satan is in past tense in the NKJV and other counterfeit bibles.

Revelation 12:10 is not a prophecy.

Revelation 12:16 is a prophecy. If you start with Revelation 11:1, you should be able to see that John is describing future events and there should be no confusion about future and past tenses.

I have read Daniel and Revelation quite a few times and there is no cause for confusion if you're using the real Bible. There are some problems in both Daniel and Revelation in the NKJV so you would do well not to use it in the study of those books. You should read them again and make sure you're using the real Protestant Bible.



[Steve Wohlberg](#)

[44 minutes ago](#)

Martin. The KJV is the Word of God, but it is still a translation into English of the inspired Greek text. Acts 12:4 in the KJV says "Easter." This is a poor translation. The Greek word is "Pasca" which means Passover.



[Martin Lohne](#)

[0 seconds ago](#)

[@Steve Wohlberg](#) I believe you intended to say the KJV is a translation of the inspired Greek and Hebrew text. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

A question remains. Are you intending to say the KJV, being only a translation, isn't inspired? If, as you say "the KJV is the Word of God" I would think it is inspired.

Let's look at the passage in which "Easter" occurs in the KJV.

"And he killed James the brother of John with the sword. And because he saw it pleased the Jews, he proceeded further to take Peter also. (Then were the days of unleavened bread.) And when he had apprehended him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four quaternions of soldiers to keep him; intending after Easter to bring him forth to the people. Peter therefore was kept in prison: but prayer was made without ceasing of the church unto God for him. And when Herod would have brought him forth, the same night Peter was sleeping between two soldiers, bound with two chains: and the keepers before the door kept the prison. And, behold, the angel of the Lord came upon him, and a light shined in the prison: and he smote Peter on

the side, and raised him up, saying, Arise up quickly. And his chains fell off from his hands. And the angel said unto him, Gird thyself, and bind on thy sandals. And so he did. And he saith unto him, Cast thy garment about thee, and follow me." Acts 12:2-8.

There are some things to notice.

1. It says that at the time this occurred it was in "the days of unleavened bread." The days of unleavened bread occurred immediately FOLLOWING the Passover. The Passover had already ended that year.

2. God sent an angel to rescue Peter so there must have been more urgency than there would have been if Herod had intended to kill Peter after the next Passover.

So, did Herod intend to kill Peter after Easter or after the Passover? In the International Standard Bible Encyclopaedia under "Queen of Heaven" it says in part, "In that worship the queen of heaven had a conspicuous place; and if, as seems probable from the cakes which were offered, she is to be identified with the Assyrian Ishtar and the Canaanite Astarte, the worship itself was of a grossly immoral and debasing character." Noah Webster's 1828 American Dictionary of the English Language says in part under "Easter," "Eoster is supposed by Bede and others to be the Astarte of the Sidonians." Easter derives from the worship in ancient times of the Queen of Heaven or Ishtar or Astarte. Herod wished to wait until after the festival celebrating that goddess to kill Peter. Easter in the KJV is correct.

And there is more to the story...

My last post on the above thread was deleted and Steve started a new thread which is below. I guess the subject of the errors in the KJV were getting a bit too hot for him.

What follows is Steve's new thread and my response to him. It remains to be seen how long my response will remain in the comments.



Steve Wohlberg

[7 hours ago](#)

Wow. We came to our office today and discovered 87 online orders and about 20 phone orders. Praise God! FYI, a few (about 3) have expressed interest in a KJV only 5x8 handout, so we decided to create that version too for those who only want to see the KJV on it. Personally, I realize the KJV is "the word of God" but I also believe that for the average secular person it is better to have verses that don't say "ye" "thee" or "thou." That's why I 'selectively' quote from

the NKJV sometimes. My books quote from both KJV and NKJV. I definitely believe that the underlying Greek 'text' of the KJV (called the Received Text, the Textus Receptus, the Traditional Text, or the Majority text" is the true Greek text. Big subject! Could write a whole book on that alone!

M

Martin Lohne

[0 seconds ago](#)

Steve, I noticed that my last post in a previous thread didn't make it to public scrutiny. Hadst thou no coherent answer?

I understand that thou believest the "average secular person" finds hard words like ye, thee and thou too hard to understand. Maybe that is true now though quite a few years ago when I was in Priest River, Idaho I thought the people there were just as smart as those I'd interacted with in in other parts of Idaho and in Washington, Oregon, California, Tennessee, Arizona and even Mexico.

I understand that things could have changed in Priest River but I wanted you to be aware it's not that way in all of Idaho. In Eagle, Idaho there is a business called Ye Olde Sweet Shoppe so those in that town apparently still understand "ye." The July 1, 2014 issue of Idaho Magazine had an article titled "Know Thy Neighbor" so at least in 2014 the author must have thought the "average secular person" could understand "thy." On 11/13/20 an article appeared in Idaho Falls Magazine titled "We Christen Thee Idaho!" so it must be assumed that many "average secular" persons must understand "thee" in Idaho Falls. I wonder; are there many in Priest River who have acquired computers and cell phones? Do they understand things like HTML and URL?

Thou sayest thou believest "the KJV is 'the word of God'" whilst also saying it has errors. Doth God make errors? Thou believest the "underlying Greek 'text' of the KJV" "is the true Greek text." Art thou not aware that the largest part of the Bible was originally written in Hebrew and not in Greek?

A couple of concluding questions. Doest thou know where I can get a "Received Text" or a "Textus Receptus" or a "Traditional Text" or a "Majority Text?" Ellen G. White must have had at least one of those because she called the Bible "infallible." Are any of those still available?

What do you know. I am truly stunned. My last post in the thread where I first commented as of 3/11/22 has been restored for all to read. My respect for Steve has risen considerably. He must have more integrity than I thought he had.

www.SatanIsDead.com
www.InfallibleBible.com
www.AdventistsToday.com
www.SabbathSchoolGuide.com
www.AdventistDeathConfusion.com